





PUSD Districting 2012

Geographic Sub-districting
School Board Election Changes






Reasons For Change


(PUSD Resolution - Geographic Sub-District Elections
November 23, 2010)

- Greater access to public elected Board Members
- Smaller constituent base – Easier interaction
- Higher level of public interest in education
- Lower cost for candidates
- Lower cost for District
- Avoidance of potential costly litigation



PUSD Districting Task Force

- “Review the 1999-2000 Charter Amendment proposal (Measure BB) for sub-geographic district elections of PUSD Board Members, and determine what changes are necessary in order to forward to the voters.”
- “Determine, through the use of 2010 Census data and receiving community input, the geographic regions for the seven seats for PUSD Board of Education, ensuring compliance with the State and Federal Voting Rights Act provisions.”




Charter Language Changes

(Unanimous vote by Task Force - 9/20)

The Charter language will be voted on by qualified voters in the June 2012 elections. Voters will not be voting on the maps in the June 2012 elections.

- **Section 701 – Establishment of a Board of Education**
 - Adds language that the Board is “elected by geographic sub-district”
- **Section 703 - Election**
 - Candidates must live in the appropriate geographic sub-district
 - Qualified voters in the sub-district may vote in the election
- **Section 704 – Nominating Petition**
 - Changes the number of petition signatures for office from 100 to 25



Charter Language Changes



Section 713 – Geographic Sub-Districts

A. Initial Elections

- Seats 1,3,5 and 7 - 2013
- Seats 2,4,6 - 2015

B. Redistricting timing (*Districing in PUSD's case*)

- After each decennial census
- Any other time 2/3 of the Board decides sufficient population change has occurred to justify updating the lines

C. Requires a districting Commission be named following each Census:

- 3 Pasadena residents named by Pasadena City Council
- 3 school district residents named by School Board (Not Board Members)
- 2 residents of Altadena named by County Supervisor
- 1 resident of City of Sierra Madre named by City Council


D. Districting Commission shall hold at least one public hearing

E. Final enactment by one of three methods:

- Board adopts Commission proposal;
- Board amends Commission plan by two-thirds vote and adopts amended plan;
- If Board does not act, Commission plan automatically takes effect post 60 days

F. Districting shall not impact an existing Board member's current term

G. The Board of Education determines geographic sub-district of any territory annexed



Federal Districting Law

1. Equal Population

- The goal must be exact equality
- Deviations from equality must achieve a clear community goal

2. Federal Voting Rights Act

- “ No voting qualification or prerequisite to voting or standard, practice, or procedure shall be imposed or applied by any State or political subdivision in a manner which results in a denial or abridgement of the right of any citizen of the United States to vote on account of race or color, or [language minority group]...”

Situations to Avoid


- ***Gerrymandering*** - Establishing an unfair political advantage for or against one group through the manipulation of geographic boundaries.
- ***Packing*** - Concentrating as many voters of a particular group into a single electoral district to reduce their influence in other districts.
- ***Cracking*** - Spreading out voters of particular group among multiple districts in order to deny them a large voting bloc of any one district.



Other Districting Criteria/Guidelines

1. Socio-Economic
2. Race, ethnicity, linguistics
3. “Natural” geographic boundaries
4. School attendance zones, locations, and attendance patterns

*Adopted by the PUSD Districting Task Force on November 15, 2011.



La Cañada Flintridge

Sierra Madre

Pasadena


Glendale

Pasadena Unified School District
Population: 202,378
Ideal district: 28,911

Los Angeles

San Marino

Arcadia




Consensus Map Demographics

Consensus Plan	District	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Total
Total Population (2010 Census)	Total	28853	28867	29041	29132	28825	28685	28975	173,403
	Deviation	-0.20%	-0.15%	0.45%	0.76%	-0.30%	-0.78%	0.22%	1.55%
	Latino	33.28%	16.22%	56.80%	49.95%	23.53%	24.06%	14.03%	31.2%
	NH White	26.50%	63.42%	13.24%	26.69%	44.09%	51.73%	60.43%	40.8%
	NH Black	32.05%	6.92%	22.82%	10.99%	6.27%	3.48%	5.08%	12.5%
	NH AmInd	0.52%	0.34%	0.28%	0.37%	0.43%	0.46%	0.39%	0.4%
	NH Asian	5.37%	11.46%	5.61%	10.98%	24.20%	19.22%	19.12%	13.7%
	NH HPI	0.08%	0.39%	0.08%	0.10%	0.13%	0.12%	0.06%	0.1%
	NH Other	0.57%	0.46%	0.31%	0.35%	0.43%	0.37%	0.33%	0.4%
	Multi	1.64%	0.81%	0.86%	0.56%	0.92%	0.55%	0.56%	0.8%
Voting Age Population (2010 Census)	Total	22081	23016	21541	22562	24438	23192	25040	136,830
	Latino	29.33%	14.34%	52.02%	45.37%	21.33%	21.46%	13.02%	27.6%
	NH White	28.95%	65.96%	15.71%	30.17%	45.83%	54.17%	61.91%	43.9%
	NH Black	33.55%	6.91%	24.24%	10.96%	6.07%	3.29%	5.01%	12.5%
	NH AmInd	0.53%	0.35%	0.32%	0.40%	0.46%	0.48%	0.41%	0.4%
	NH Asian	5.47%	11.06%	6.49%	12.13%	24.97%	19.72%	18.78%	14.4%
	NH HPI	0.10%	0.36%	0.07%	0.13%	0.13%	0.12%	0.07%	0.1%
	NH Other	0.53%	0.35%	0.32%	0.31%	0.38%	0.31%	0.30%	0.4%
	Multi	1.54%	0.68%	0.84%	0.53%	0.83%	0.46%	0.51%	0.8%
Citizen Voting Age Population (Special Tabulation)	Total	20503	21124	16899	18159	20661	20026	22684	117,472
	Latino	27.34%	13.24%	40.49%	36.69%	19.42%	19.48%	10.61%	23.0%
	NH White	29.31%	70.08%	17.08%	38.89%	54.53%	61.83%	68.74%	50.0%
	NH Black	37.86%	7.43%	35.51%	14.91%	6.85%	3.29%	5.28%	15.2%
	NH Asian	4.21%	8.26%	5.91%	8.22%	16.94%	14.76%	14.62%	10.6%
	NH AmInd	0.22%	0.32%	0.48%	1.03%	0.77%	0.44%	0.51%	0.5%
	NH HPI	0.17%	0.05%	0.00%	0.00%	1.06%	0.12%	0.00%	0.2%
	Multi	0.87%	0.52%	0.59%	0.27%	0.47%	0.11%	0.26%	0.4%
Registration by Surname (CA State Database)	Total	17980	18764	12689	12210	15086	17318	19373	94,047
	Spanish Surname	15.72%	10.97%	32.79%	27.85%	18.33%	14.42%	9.57%	17.2%
	Filipino Surname	0.60%	1.07%	1.69%	1.51%	1.82%	1.17%	0.94%	5.9%
	Asian Surname	2.36%	4.88%	2.39%	5.13%	8.46%	8.99%	7.96%	1.2%
Turnout by Surname (CA State Database)	Total	10712	12232	6163	6321	8452	11044	13081	54,924
	Spanish Surname	12.85%	9.88%	29.37%	24.19%	16.94%	11.99%	8.53%	14.4%
	Filipino Surname	0.49%	0.97%	1.56%	1.38%	1.69%	0.87%	0.89%	5.1%
	Asian Surname	2.43%	4.63%	2.60%	4.92%	6.71%	7.02%	6.31%	1.0%

Total Population and Registration by Place

Consensus Plan	Population					Registered Voters (Nov. 2010)				
	Altadena	Pasadena	Sierra Madre	Other	Total	Altadena	Pasadena	Sierra Madre	Other	Total
1	27,895	878		80	28,853	17,340	587		53	17,980
2	14,882	12,684		1,301	28,867	9,933	7,944		887	18,764
3		29,041			29,041		12,689			12,689
4		29,132			29,132		12,210			12,210
5		28,825			28,825		15,086			15,086
6		7,597	10,717	10,371	28,685		4,584	7,628	5,106	17,318
7		28,950		25	28,975		19,355		18	19,373
Total	42,777	137,107	10,717	11,777	202,378	27,273	72,455	7,628	6,064	113,420
1	97%	3%			100%	96%	3%			100%
2	52%	44%			95%	53%	42%			95%
3	0%	100%			100%	0%	100%			100%
4		100%			100%		100%			100%
5		100%			100%		100%			100%
6		26%	37%	36%	100%	26%		44%	29%	100%
7	0%	100%		0%	100%	0%	100%		0%	100%



District Transition

- Reflect community input
- Honor voters' will for seats elected in 2011
- Allow incumbents a chance for re-election
- No adjustments made to accommodate incumbency

District Numbering Summary

District	Resident Trustees	Year Assigned	Final Result
1	2013 & 2015 (Assigned 2013)	2013	2013 Miramontes can run in 2013 Kenne can continue with current term or run in 2013
2	Vacant		2015 New trustee in 2015
3	Vacant		2013 New trustee in 2013
4	2015 & 2015 (Assigned to 2015)	2015	2015 Cooper & Selinske can run in 2015
5	2013 & 2013 (Assigned to 2013)	2013	2013 Honowitz & Pomeroy can run in 2013
6	Vacant		2015 New trustee in 2015
7	2013 (Assigned to 2013)	2013	2013 Phelps can run in 2013

FURTHER INFORMATION

- To view maps and other materials, visit:
- www.measurea.pasadenausd.org